arXiv:1407.2226v2 [math.CA] 31 May 2015

RECURRENCE RELATIONS OF THE HYPERGEOMETRIC TYPE FUNCTIONS ON THE QUADRATIC-TYPE LATTICES

R. SEVİNİK ADIGÜZEL

Abstract. The central idea of this article is to study the difference analogues of solutions of the second order linear difference equation of hypergeometric type defined on the quadratic-type lattices. We introduce various difference-recurrence relations for such solutions. Some applications to polynomials on the quadratic-type lattices are also considered.

1. Introduction

The q-special functions, particularly, q-polynomials have an increasing interest in the last years. In particular, q-polynomials on the q-linear lattices have been considered firstly, after the study by Hahn in 1949 (see e.g. [2, 6] and references therein). In 1983 these functions were studied by Nikiforov and Uvarov who started from the second order linear difference equation of hypergeometric type that such a kind of functions satisfy and then this theory is developed by several authors (see e.g. [4, 8, 12, 19, 20, 23, 24]). Moreover, q-polynomials on the q-quadratic lattices are of particular interest in the recent studies (see e.g. [9, 10, 11, 19, 20, 23, 24]) since they are the most general orthogonal families from which all the other hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials can be obtained. Such polynomials are the solutions of the second order difference equation of hypergeometric type defined on the q-quadratic lattices.

The main aim in this work is to introduce a constructive approach for generating recurrence relations for the difference analogues of hypergeometric functions on the q-quadratic lattices $x(s) = c_1 q^s + c_2 q^{-s} + c_3$ which cover also the hypergeometric functions on the quadratic lattices $x(s) = c_1 s^2 + c_2 s + c_3$ as a limit case when $q \longrightarrow 1$ (see e.g. [1, 21]).

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 33D45, 33C45, 42C05.

Key words and phrases. Special Functions, Hypergeometric function on quadratic lattices, Hypergeometric function on q-quadratic lattices, Second order linear difference equation of hypergeometric type on the quadratic lattices, Second order linear difference equation of hypergeometric type on the q-quadratic lattices, Reccurence relations, Racah polynomials, q-Racah polynomials, TTRR.

The recurrence relations are quite useful for the evaluation of the functions rather than the direct method. Moreover, they are important not only from the mathematical point of view but also because of they use in computing numerically the values of the corresponding special functions (see e.g. the references [7, 16, 17] and references there in).

Furthermore, since in several quantum-mechanical models the wave functions can be expressed in terms of certain hypergeometric-type functions, it is of great interest to have an optimal way of getting such recurrence relations in order to have more information about the physical systems modelled by such functions. That is the case, for example, for the Hydrogen-like atoms and isotropic harmonic oscillators (see e.g. [13, 14, 22]). In fact, in [7, 14], the authors applied the method to such a quantum mechanical systems. For the case of discrete hypergeometric-type functions the situation is similar since there are several models of discrete oscillators related with the hypergeometric type polynomials on the quadratic lattices. In the latest case there is not a general theory for finding recurrence relations *la carte* as it was done very recently for the linear-type lattices in [6]. The main reason for the absence of such constructive approach for the quadratic-lattices is the fact that it is not a linear-type lattice and therefore the method developed in [6] is not longer applicable and therefore a more general method should be developed. At this point it is important to notice that for this general case there are only few known recurrence relations (see the results by Suslov in [23, 24]). This is the main aim of the present paper, to extend the results of [6] to the general quadratic lattice, and in such a way to further extend the results of Alvarez-Nodarse et. al and Suslov for developing a constructive approach for the recurrence relations for such functions which have more general form.

In fact, this paper is motivated, and is the logical generalization of the works R. Álvarez-Nodarse and collaborators [3, 5, 6, 14]. In [14], the authors considered the continuous case and they obtained some recurrence relations for the Jacobi, Laguerre and Hermite polynomials and he applied the theory to the quantum mechanics. In [5], the authors studied difference analogues of hypergeometric functions on the linear lattices $x(s) = s$ and they applied the theory to the Hahn, Meixner, Charlier and Kravchuk polynomials. In [6], the authors studied the difference analogues of hypergeometric functions on the linear-type lattices where they applied to the q-polynomials on q-linear lattices $x(s) = c_1 q^s + c_2$, like big q-Jacobi, Alternative q-Charlier polynomials. Here we go further and consider the recurrence relations for the functions on the quadratic-type lattices and apply the theory to the q-Racah and dual Hahn polynomials.

Notice that since the lattice considered in this paper is not linear-type, see [2], the general results of [6] may not be applied in general, therefore an appropriate method should be developed. In fact, the main aim of the present paper is to adapt the method presented in [6] for the general quadratic lattice. Therefore, this work is an generalization of the papers [3, 5, 6] where the other cases can be obtained. Hence, this article is interesting from two point of view. Firstly, it completes the study on the reccurrence relation using the ideas of the papers [3, 5, 6] and secondly, it includes the most general reccurrence relations from where the others can be obtained as particular cases.

The structure of the paper is as follows: In section 2 the preliminary results are introduced. In section 3 and 4 the general theorems for recurrence relations are given. The last section concludes the paper with some representative examples.

2. Preliminaries

Here we include some useful information (see e.g. [1, 20]) on the q-hypergeometric functions that we need in the rest of the paper.

The hypergeometric functions on the non-uniform lattices satisfy the following second order difference equation of hypergeometric type on the non-uniform lattices

$$\sigma(s)\frac{\Delta}{\Delta x(s-\frac{1}{2})}\Big[\frac{\nabla y(s)}{\nabla x(s)}\Big]+\tau(s)\frac{\Delta y(s)}{\Delta x(s)}+\lambda y(s)=0, \tag{1}$$

where

$$\sigma(s)=\widetilde{\sigma}(x(s))-\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\tau}(x(s))\Delta x(s-\frac{1}{2}),\quad \tau(s)=\widetilde{\tau}(x(s)).$$

Here, $\Delta y(s)=y(s+1)-y(s)$, $\nabla y(s)=y(s)-y(s-1)$ are the forward and backward difference operators, respectively, where

$$\Delta y(s)=\nabla y(s+1), \tag{2}$$

and the coefficients $\widetilde{\sigma}(x(s))$ and $\widetilde{\tau}(x(s))$ are polynomials in $x(s)$ of degree at most 2 and 1, respectively, and λ is a constant.

In this paper, we study on the quadratic-type lattices: the so-called quadratic lattice and q-quadratic lattice, with $c_1\neq 0, c_1(q)\neq 0$ and $q^{-\mu}=\frac{c_2(q)}{c_1(q)}$,

$$x(s)=c_1s^2+c_2s+c_3, \tag{3}$$

$$x(s)=c_1(q)q^s+c_2(q)q^{-s}+c_3(q)=c_1(q)\Big[q^s+q^{-s-\mu}\Big]+c_3(q). \tag{4}$$

Remark 1. *The quadratic-type lattices have the following properties:*

$$\frac{x(s+k)+x(s)}{2} = \alpha_k x_k(s) + \beta_k, \tag{5}$$

$$x(s+k) - x(s) = \gamma_k \Delta x_k(s - \frac{1}{2}) = \nabla x_k(s + \frac{1}{2}) \tag{6}$$

where

$$\alpha_k = \frac{q^{\frac{k}{2}} + q^{-\frac{k}{2}}}{2}, \quad \beta_k = -\frac{c_3}{2}\Big(q^{\frac{k}{4}} - q^{-\frac{k}{4}}\Big)^2, \quad \gamma_k = [k]_q. \tag{7}$$

Here $[k]_q$ *is the symmetric q-number defined by*

$$[k]_q = \frac{q^{\frac{k}{2}} - q^{-\frac{k}{2}}}{q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}}. \tag{8}$$

The difference derivatives of a solution $y(s)$ of (1), are defined by

$$y^{(k)}(s) := \Delta^{(k)}\Big[y(s)\Big] = \frac{\Delta}{\Delta x_{k-1}(s)} \frac{\Delta}{\Delta x_{k-2}(s)} ... \frac{\Delta}{\Delta x(s)}\Big[y(s)\Big]$$

where

$$x_k(s) = x(s + \frac{k}{2}) \tag{9}$$

and satisfy a difference equation of the same type of (1). Furthermore, the solutions of the difference equation (1) have the following property.

Theorem 2. [20, 23] *The difference equation (1) has a particular solution of the form*

$$y_\nu(z) = \frac{C_\nu}{\rho(z)} \sum_{s=a}^{b-1} \frac{\rho_\nu(s)\nabla x_{\nu+1}(s)}{\Big[x_\nu(s) - x_\nu(z)\Big]^{\nu+1}}, \tag{10}$$

$$y_\nu(z) = \frac{C_\nu}{\rho(z)} \int_C \frac{\rho_\nu(s)\nabla x_{\nu+1}(s)}{\Big[x_\nu(s) - x_\nu(z)\Big]^{\nu+1}} ds, \tag{11}$$

if

$$\frac{\sigma(s)\rho_\nu(s)\nabla x_{\nu+1}(s)}{\Big[x_{\nu-1}(s) - x_{\nu-1}(z+1)\Big]^{\nu+1}}\Bigg|_{s=a}^{b} \text{ and } \int_C \Delta_s \frac{\sigma(s)\rho_\nu(s)\nabla x_{\nu+1}(s)}{\Big[x_{\nu-1}(s) - x_{\nu-1}(z+1)\Big]^{\nu+1}}\Bigg|_{s=a}^{b}$$

are zero, respectively. Here, C is a contour in the complex plane, C_ν *is a constant.*

Notice that $\rho(s)$ and $\rho_\nu(s)$ are the solutions of the Pearson equations

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\rho(s+1)}{\rho(s)} &= \frac{\sigma(s)+\tau(s)\Delta x(s-\frac{1}{2})}{\sigma(s+1)} = \frac{\Phi(s)}{\sigma(s+1)},\\ \frac{\rho_\nu(s+1)}{\rho_\nu(s)} &= \frac{\sigma(s)+\tau_\nu(s)\Delta x_\nu(s-\frac{1}{2})}{\sigma(s+1)} = \frac{\Phi_\nu(s)}{\sigma(s+1)},\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where

$$\tau_\nu(s) = \frac{\sigma(s+\nu)-\sigma(s)+\tau(s+\nu)\Delta x(s+\nu-\frac{1}{2})}{\Delta x_{\nu-1}(s)}, \tag{13}$$

and therefore,

$$\Phi_\nu(s) = \sigma(s)+\tau_\nu(s)\Delta x_\nu(s-\frac{1}{2}) = \sigma(s+\nu)+\tau(s+\nu)\Delta x(s+\nu-\frac{1}{2}). \tag{14}$$

Notice here that

$$\Phi_\nu(s) = \Phi(s+\nu) = \sigma(s+\nu)+\tau(s+\nu)\Delta x(s+\nu-\frac{1}{2}). \tag{15}$$

Here, $\nu \in C$ is the root of the equation $\lambda_\nu+[\nu]_q\{\alpha_{\nu-1}\widetilde{\tau}'+[\nu-1]_q\frac{\widetilde{\sigma}''}{2}\}=0$, where $[x]_q$ and α_k are defined by (8) and (7), respectively.

In the following we will use the function $\widetilde{\sigma}_\nu(s)$ defined as,

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_\nu(s) = \sigma(s)+\frac{1}{2}\tau_\nu(s)\Delta x_\nu(s-\frac{1}{2}). \tag{16}$$

Notice that by (14) and (16),

$$\Phi_\nu(s)+\sigma(s) = 2\widetilde{\sigma}_\nu(s), \tag{17}$$

$$\Phi_\nu(s)-\sigma(s) = \tau_\nu(s)\Delta x_\nu(s-\frac{1}{2}). \tag{18}$$

The generalized power $\Big[x_\nu(s)-x_\nu(z)\Big]^{(\alpha)}$ of (3) and (4) are defined as follows:
For the quadratic lattice of the form (3), with $\mu=\frac{c_2}{c_1}$,

$$\Big[x_\nu(s)-x_\nu(z)\Big]^{(\alpha)} = c_1^\alpha\frac{\Gamma(s-z+\alpha)\Gamma(s+z+\nu+\mu+1)}{\Gamma(s-z)\Gamma(s+z+\nu-\alpha+\mu+1)}. \tag{19}$$

For the q-quadratic lattice of the form (4) with $\eta=\frac{c_2(q)}{c_1(q)}$,

$$\Big[x_\nu(s)-x_\nu(z)\Big]^{(\alpha)} = c_1^\alpha(q)q^{-\alpha(s+\frac{\nu}{2})}\frac{(q^{s-z};q)_\infty(\eta q^{s+z+\nu-\alpha+1};q)_\infty}{(q^{s-z+\alpha};q)_\infty(\eta q^{s+z+\nu+1};q)_\infty}. \tag{20}$$

Here, the infinite q-product [15] is defined by $(a;q)_\infty=\prod_{k=0}^{\infty}(1-aq^k)$.

Proposition 3. [6, 23] *Let ν be a complex number and m, k be positive integers with $m \geq k$. For the q-quadratic lattice of the form (4), we have*

$$\frac{\Big[x_\nu(s)-x_\nu(z)\Big]^{(m)}}{\Big[x_\nu(s)-x_\nu(z)\Big]^{(k)}}=\Big[x_\nu(s)-x_\nu(z-k)\Big]^{(m-k)}, \tag{21}$$

$$\frac{\Big[x_\nu(s)-x_\nu(z)\Big]^{(m+1)}}{\Big[x_{\nu-1}(s)-x_{\nu-1}(z)\Big]^{(m)}}=\Big[x_{\nu-m}(s+m)-x_{\nu-m}(z)\Big], \tag{22}$$

$$\frac{\Big[x_\nu(s)-x_\nu(z)\Big]^{(m+1)}}{\Big[x_{\nu-1}(s+1)-x_{\nu-1}(z)\Big]^{(m)}}=\Big[x_{\nu-m}(s)-x_{\nu-m}(z)\Big]. \tag{23}$$

The proof is straightforward by using (19) and (20) and we omit it here. The generalization of above expressions can be written by the following lemma.

Lemma 4. *Let μ_i and ν_i, $i=1,2,3$ be complex numbers such that*

$$\mu_0-\mu_i\geq\nu_0-\nu_i \tag{24}$$

where ν_0 is the ν_i, $i=1,2,3$, with the largest real part, μ_0 is the μ_i, $i=1,2,3$, with the largest real part and the differences $\nu_i-\nu_j$ and $\mu_i-\mu_j$ $i,j=1,2,3$ are integers. Then the ratio of the generalized power can be calculated by the following formulas:

1. If $\nu_i=\nu_0$

$$\frac{\Big[x_{\nu_0}(s)-x_{\nu_0}(z)\Big]^{(\mu_0+1)}}{\Big[x_{\nu_i}(s)-x_{\nu_i}(z)\Big]^{(\mu_i+1)}}=\Big[x_{\nu_0}(s)-x_{\nu_0}(z-\mu_i-1)\Big]^{(\mu_0-\mu_i)}.$$

2. If $\nu_i<\nu_0$ and $\mu_0-n=\mu_i$, $\nu_0-n=\nu_i$

$$\frac{\Big[x_{\nu_0}(s)-x_{\nu_0}(z)\Big]^{(\mu_0+1)}}{\Big[x_{\nu_i}(s)-x_{\nu_i}(z)\Big]^{(\mu_i+1)}}=\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\Big[x_{\nu_0-\mu_0}(s+\mu_0-i)-x_{\nu_0-\mu_0}(z)\Big].$$

3. If $\nu_i < \nu_0$ *and* $\mu_0 - n = \mu_i$, $\nu_0 - n + k = \nu_i$, $(\nu_i > \nu_0 - n)$

$$\frac{\Big[x_{\nu_0}(s) - x_{\nu_0}(z)\Big]^{(\mu_0+1)}}{\Big[x_{\nu_i}(s) - x_{\nu_i}(z)\Big]^{(\mu_i+1)}} = \prod_{l=0}^{n-k-1} \Big[x_{\nu_0-\mu_0}(s+\mu_0-l) - x_{\nu_0-\mu_0}(z)\Big] \times \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \Big[x_{\nu_i}(s) - x_{\nu_i}(z-\mu_0+n-1-j)\Big].$$

Proof. Here we only sketch the proof for the 3rd case. The others can be done in an analogous way. One can write the ratio of the generalized power in the 3rd case by

$$\frac{\Big[x_{\nu_0}(s) - x_{\nu_0}(z)\Big]^{(\mu_0+1)}}{\Big[x_{\nu_i}(s) - x_{\nu_i}(z)\Big]^{(\mu_i+1)}} = \frac{\Big[x_{\nu_0}(s) - x_{\nu_0}(z)\Big]^{(\mu_0+1)}}{\Big[x_{\nu_0-1}(s) - x_{\nu_0-1}(z)\Big]^{(\mu_0)}} \times \ldots$$
$$\frac{\Big[x_{\nu_0-n+k}(s) - x_{\nu_0-n+k}(z)\Big]^{(\mu_0-n+k+1)}}{\Big[x_{\nu_0-n+k}(s) - x_{\nu_0-n+k}(z)\Big]^{(\mu_0-n+k)}} \ldots \frac{\Big[x_{\nu_0-n+k}(s) - x_{\nu_0-n+k}(z)\Big]^{(\mu_0-n+2)}}{\Big[x_{\nu_0-n+k}(s) - x_{\nu_0-n+k}(z)\Big]^{(\mu_0-n+1)}}$$

where $\mu_0 - n = \mu_i$, $\nu_0 - n + k = \nu_i$. Then, from the hypothesis and the formulas (21), (22) of the Proposition 3 the results in the lemma follow.

□

3. Recurrence relation on the quadratic-type lattices

Here, we are going to obtain the general recurrence relation on the quadratic-type lattices defined by (3) and (4). In order to get the relation we generalize the idea used for the linear-type lattices in the recent papers [3, 5, 6]. Firstly, we define the following functions,

$$\Phi_{\nu,\mu}(z) = \sum_{s=a}^{b-1} \frac{\rho_\nu(s)\nabla x_{\nu+1}(s)}{\Big[x_\nu(s) - x_\nu(z)\Big]^{(\mu+1)}} \tag{25}$$

and

$$\Phi_{\nu,\mu}(z) = \int_C \frac{\rho_\nu(s)\nabla x_{\nu+1}(s)}{\Big[x_\nu(s) - x_\nu(z)\Big]^{(\mu+1)}} ds. \tag{26}$$

We remark that the functions y_ν and $\Phi_{\nu,\mu}$ are related as

$$y_\nu(z) = \frac{C_\nu}{\rho(z)} \Phi_{\nu,\nu}(z). \tag{27}$$

Lemma 5. *Let the function $\Phi_{\nu,\mu}(z)$ be defined by (25) and (26). Then, the following relation holds*

$$\nabla_z \Phi_{\nu,\mu}(z) = [\mu+1]_q \nabla x_{\nu-\mu}(z) \Phi_{\nu,\mu+1}(z), \tag{28}$$

where $[s]_q$ is the symmetric q-number defined by (8).

Proof. One can find the proof in [6]. □

We next prove the following lemma for the quadratic-type lattices which is the generalization of the linear-type lattices considered in Lemma 3.2. of [6, page 4].

Lemma 6. *Let $x(z)$ be quadratic-type lattices of the form (3) and (4). Then, any three functions $\Phi_{\nu_i,\mu_i}(z)$, $i=1,2,3$, are connected by a linear relation*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} A_i(z) \Phi_{\nu_i,\mu_i}(z) = 0, \tag{29}$$

with the non-zero functions $A_i(z)$, provided that the differences $\nu_i - \nu_j$ and $\mu_i - \mu_j$ $i,j = 1,2,3$ are integers such that $\mu_0 - \mu_i \geq \nu_0 - \nu_i$, $i=1,2,3$, and the following condition holds

$$\left. \frac{\sigma(s)\rho_{\nu_*}(s)x^k(s)}{\Big[x_{\nu_0-1}(s) - x_{\nu_0-1}(z)\Big]^{(\mu_0)}} \right|_{s=a}^{b} = 0, \quad k = 0,1,2,..., \tag{30}$$

when the functions Φ_{ν_i,μ_i} are given by (25) and

$$\int_C \Delta_s \frac{\sigma(s)\rho_{\nu_*}(s)x^k(s)}{\Big[x_{\nu_0-1}(s) - x_{\nu_0-1}(z)\Big]^{(\mu_0)}} = 0, \quad k = 0,1,2,..., \tag{31}$$

when the functions Φ_{ν_i,μ_i} are given by (26). Here, ν_* is the ν_i, $i = 1,2,3$, with the smallest real part, ν_0 is the ν_i, $i=1,2,3$, with the largest real part, μ_0 is the μ_i, $i=1,2,3$, with the largest real part.

Proof. We consider the proof for the function Φ_{ν_i,μ_i} defined by (25). For (26), the proof is similar. By substituting the function Φ_{ν_i,μ_i} defined by (25) in the sum, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} A_i(z)\Phi_{\nu_i,\mu_i}(z) = \sum_{s=a}^{b-1} \frac{\rho_{\nu_*}(s)}{\Big[x_{\nu_0}(s) - x_{\nu_0}(z)\Big]^{(\mu_0+1)}}$$

$$\times \left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} A_i(z) \frac{\rho_{\nu_i}(s)}{\rho_{\nu_*}(s)} \nabla x_{\nu_i+1}(s) \frac{\Big[x_{\nu_0}(s) - x_{\nu_0}(z)\Big]^{(\mu_0+1)}}{\Big[x_{\nu_i}(s) - x_{\nu_i}(z)\Big]^{(\mu_i+1)}} \right)$$

where

$$\rho_{\nu_i}(s) = \Phi(s+\nu_*)\Phi(s+\nu_*+1)...\Phi(s+\nu_i-1)\rho_{\nu_*}(s) \tag{32}$$

by the Pearson equation (12). Thus, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} A_i(z)\Phi_{\nu_i,\mu_i}(z) = \sum_{s=a}^{b-1} \frac{\rho_{\nu_*}(s)}{\left[x_{\nu_0}(s) - x_{\nu_0}(z)\right]^{(\mu_0+1)}}\Pi(s)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(s) &= \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_i(z)\nabla x_{\nu_i+1}(s)\frac{[x_{\nu_0}(s) - x_{\nu_0}(z)]^{(\mu_0+1)}}{[x_{\nu_i}(s) - x_{\nu_i}(z)]^{(\mu_i+1)}} \\ &\times\Phi(s+\nu_*)\Phi(s+\nu_*+1)...\Phi(s+\nu_i-1) \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

where the ratio of the generalized power can be computed by using the Lemma 4.

We need to show that there exists a polynomial $Q(s)$ in $z = q^s, s \in \mathbb{Z}$; $\{1, z^{-1}, z, z^{-2}, z^2, z^{-3}, z^3, ...\}$, such that

$$\frac{\rho_{\nu_*}(s)}{\left[x_{\nu_0}(s) - x_{\nu_0}(z)\right]^{(\mu_0+1)}}\Pi(s) = \Delta\left[\frac{\sigma(s)\rho_{\nu_*}(s)}{\left[x_{\nu_0-1}(s) - x_{\nu_0-1}(z)\right]^{(\mu_0)}}Q(s)\right]. \tag{34}$$

If such polynomial $Q(s)$ exists, then by taking the sum over $s = a$ to $b-1$, and using the boundary condition (30), we get the relation (29). First of all, we will show in the following that $\Pi(s)$ is a Laurent polynomial in $z = q^s, s \in \mathbb{Z}$; $\{1, z^{-1}, z, z^{-2}, z^2, z^{-3}, z^3, ...\}$.

By substituting the q-quadratic lattice $x(s) = c_1(q)q^s + c_2(q)q^{-s} + c_3(q)$ in each factors of $\Pi(s)$ in (33) one can rewrite it as a polynomial in $z = q^s$ and $1/z = q^{-s}$, which is a special class of Laurent polynomials, [18]

$$\Lambda_{2n} = \{R \in \Lambda_{-n,n} | \text{ the coefficent of } z^n \text{ is nonzero}\}$$

whose basis is $\{1, z^{-1}, z, z^{-2}, z^2, z^{-3}, z^3, ...\}$, where $z = q^s$.

The so-called Laurent polynomial is a function of a real variable x of the following form

$$\sum_{i=m}^{n} c_i x^i, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad m \le i \le n, \quad c_i \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$\Lambda_{m,n}$ denotes the linear space of Laurent polynomials, i.e.,

$$\Lambda_{m,n} = \text{span}\{x^i : m \le i \le n\},$$

which is a subspace with $\dim(\Lambda_{m,n}) = n - m + 1$ of the linear space of all Laurent polynomials. Notice that if $\mathbb{P}_k$ denotes the linear space of

polynomials of degree at most k, then $\mathbb{P}_k = \Lambda_{0,k}$. A Laurent polynomial is called L-degree m if it belongs to class Λ_m, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, [18].

Now if we return to prove the existence of the polynomial $Q(s)$, we rewrite the right hand side of (34) as the following

$$\frac{\rho_{\nu_*}(s)}{\Big[x_{\nu_0}(s)-x_{\nu_0}(z)\Big]^{(\mu_0+1)}}\left(\frac{\rho_{\nu_*}(s+1)}{\rho_{\nu_*}(s)}\frac{\Big[x_{\nu_0}(s)-x_{\nu_0}(z)\Big]^{(\mu_0+1)}}{\Big[x_{\nu_0-1}(s+1)-x_{\nu_0-1}(z)\Big]^{(\mu_0)}}\right.$$

$$\left.\times\sigma(s+1)Q(s+1)-\sigma(s)\frac{\Big[x_{\nu_0}(s)-x_{\nu_0}(z)\Big]^{(\mu_0+1)}}{\Big[x_{\nu_0-1}(s)-x_{\nu_0-1}(z)\Big]^{(\mu_0)}}Q(s)\right). \tag{35}$$

By using the Pearson equation (12) and the formulas (23) and (22) of the Proposition 3, respectively, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi(s) &= \Phi_{\nu_*}(s)\Big[x_{\nu_0-\mu_0}(s)-x_{\nu_0-\mu_0}(z)\Big]Q(s+1)\\ &\quad -\sigma(s)\Big[x_{\nu_0-\mu_0}(s+\mu_0)-x_{\nu_0-\mu_0}(z)\Big]Q(s),\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

where, $\Phi_{\nu_*}(s) = \sigma(s) + \tau_{\nu_*}(s)\nabla x_{\nu_*+1}(s)$.

Recall that $\Pi(s)$ is a Laurent polynomial on the basis $\{1, z^{-1}, z,$-$z^{-2}, z^2, z^{-3}, z^3, ...\}$, where $z = q^s$. Then, note that $\sigma(s)$ is a Laurent polynomial of L-degree at most four, whose basis is $\{1, z^{-1}, z, z^{-2}, z^2\}$, where $z = q^s$. Moreover, $\tau_{\nu_*}(s)$ is polynomial of degree one in $x_{\nu_*}(s)$ and also a Laurent polynomial of L-degree two, whose basis is $\{1, z^{-1}, z\}$, where $z = q^s$. In addition, $x_k(s)$ is a Laurent polynomial of L-degree two, whose basis is $\{1, z^{-1}, z\}$, where $z = q^s$.

Therefore, by substituting the q-quadratic lattice (4) and taking into account the property (9), one can see that $Q(s)$ is also a Laurent polynomial whose L-degree is at least six less than the L-degree of $\Pi(s)$.

Note that two Laurent polynomials are equal if their coefficients are equal likewise the ordinary polynomials. Since both parts of (36) are Laurent polynomials, one can use the equality of the coefficents of the Laurent polynomials in order to find $A_i(z)$. This completes the proof. □

In the limit case as $q \to 1$, one can also get the results of Lemma 6 for the quadratic lattice $x(s) = c_1 s^2 + c_2 s + c_3$.

3.1. **Some representative examples.**

Example 7. *The following relation holds*

$$A_1(z)\Phi_{\nu,\nu}(z) + A_2(z)\Phi_{\nu,\nu-1}(z) + A_3(z)\Phi_{\nu,\nu-2}(z) = 0$$

where the coefficients $A_1(z)$, $A_2(z)$ and $A_3(z)$ are the functions defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1(z) &= \tau_\nu(0)\beta_\nu - \gamma_\nu\widetilde{\sigma}_\nu(0) - \tau_\nu(0)x(z) + \big[\tau'_\nu\beta_\nu + \alpha_\nu\tau_\nu(0) - \gamma_\nu\widetilde{\sigma}'_\nu(0) \\
&- \tau'_\nu x(z)\big]x_\nu(z-\nu) - \big[\tau'_\nu\alpha_\nu - \gamma_\nu\frac{\widetilde{\sigma}''_\nu}{2}\big]x^2_\nu(z-\nu), \\
A_2(z) &= \tau'_\nu\beta_\nu + \alpha_\nu\tau_\nu(0) - \gamma_\nu\widetilde{\sigma}'_\nu(0) - \tau'_\nu x(z) + \big[\tau'_\nu\alpha_\nu - \gamma_\nu\frac{\widetilde{\sigma}''_\nu}{2}\big] \\
&\times \big[x_\nu(z-\nu) + x_\nu(z-\nu+1)\big], \\
A_3(z) &= \tau'_\nu\alpha_\nu - \gamma_\nu\frac{\widetilde{\sigma}''_\nu}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

where α_ν, β_ν and γ_ν are defined by (7) and

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_\nu(s) = \frac{\widetilde{\sigma}''_\nu}{2}x^2_\nu(s) + \widetilde{\sigma}'_\nu(0)x_\nu(s) + \widetilde{\sigma}_\nu(0), \tag{37}$$

$$\tau_\nu(s) = \tau'_\nu x_\nu(s) + \tau_\nu(0) \tag{38}$$

are the Taylor polynomial expansion of the functions $\widetilde{\sigma}_\nu(s)$ and $\tau_\nu(s)$ defined by (16) and (13), respectively.

Proof. By the Lemma 6, we have $\nu_1 = \nu$, $\nu_2 = \nu$, $\nu_3 = \nu$ and $\mu_1 = \nu$, $\mu_2 = \nu - 1$, $\mu_3 = \nu - 2$. By the formula (33)

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi(s) &= \Delta x_\nu(s-\frac{1}{2})\Bigg\{A_3(z)x^2_\nu(s) + \Big[A_2(z) - A_3(z)x_\nu(z-\nu) \\
&- A_3(z)x_\nu(z-\nu+1)\Big]x_\nu(s) \\
&+ \Big[A_1(z) - A_2(z)x_\nu(z-\nu) + A_3(z)x_\nu(z-\nu)x_\nu(z-\nu+1)\Big]\Bigg\}
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

and by (36)

$$\Pi(s) = \Phi_\nu(s)\big[x(s) - x(z)\big]Q(s+1) - \sigma(s)\big[x(s+\nu) - x(z)\big]Q(s). \tag{40}$$

Notice that if we substitute the q-quadratic lattice (4) and use the property (9), then $\Pi(s)$ in (39) is a Laurent polynomial on the basis $\{1, z^{-1}, z, z^{-2}, z^2, z^{-3}, z^3\}$, where $z = q^s$. Notice that the L-degree of $\Pi(s)$ is six. Since the L-degree of $Q(s)$ is at least six less than $\Pi(s)$ then degree of $Q(s)$ is zero, i.e., $Q(s) = k$, it is a constant. Then (40) can be rewritten as the following by choosing $k = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi(s) &= \Big[\Phi_\nu(s) - \sigma(s)\Big]\frac{x(s+\nu) + x(s)}{2} - \big[\Phi_\nu(s) - \sigma(s)\big]x(z) \\
&- \Big[\Phi_\nu(s) + \sigma(s)\Big]\frac{x(s+\nu) - x(s)}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By using the expressions (17), (18), (5) and (6) we get

$$\Pi(s) = \Delta x_\nu(s-\frac{1}{2})\Bigg\{\tau_\nu(s)\Big[\alpha_\nu x_\nu(s)+\beta_\nu\Big] - \gamma_\nu\widetilde{\sigma}_\nu(s) - \tau_\nu(s)x(z)\Bigg\}.$$

Substituting $\widetilde{\sigma}_\nu(s)$ and $\tau_\nu(s)$ from (37) and (38), it follows

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi(s) &= \Delta x_\nu(s-\frac{1}{2})\Bigg\{\Big[\tau'_\nu\alpha_\nu - \gamma_\nu\frac{\widetilde{\sigma}_{\nu''}}{2}\Big]x^2_\nu(s) + \Big[\tau'_\nu\beta_\nu + \alpha_\nu\tau_\nu(0)\\ &- \gamma_\nu\widetilde{\sigma}_{\nu'}(0) - \tau'_\nu x(z)\Big]x_\nu(s) + \Big[\tau_\nu(0)\beta_\nu - \gamma_\nu\widetilde{\sigma}_\nu(0) - \tau_\nu(0)x(z)\Big]\Bigg\}. \quad (41)\end{aligned}$$

Now, by equating the polynomials $\Pi(s)$ in (39) and (41), the common term $\Delta x_\nu(s-\frac{1}{2})$ vanishes and we get polynomials of degree two in $x_\nu(s)$ in both parts of (39) and (41). Then by equating the coefficients of the powers of $x_\nu(s)$, we obtain the following system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}A_3(z) &= \tau'_\nu\alpha_\nu - \gamma_\nu\frac{\widetilde{\sigma}_{\nu''}}{2}\\ A_2(z) - A_3(z)x_\nu(z-\nu) - A_3(z)x_\nu(z-\nu+1) &= \tau'_\nu\beta_\nu + \alpha_\nu\tau_\nu(0)\\ &\quad -\gamma_\nu\widetilde{\sigma}_{\nu'}(0) - \tau'_\nu x(z)\\ A_1(z) - A_2(z)x_\nu(z-\nu) + A_3(z)x_\nu(z-\nu)x_\nu(z-\nu+1) &= \tau_\nu(0)\beta_\nu\\ &\quad -\gamma_\nu\widetilde{\sigma}_\nu(0) - \tau_\nu(0)x(z).\end{aligned}$$

By solving this system, one can obtain the coefficients $A_1(z)$, $A_2(z)$ and $A_3(z)$. □

Example 8. *For the function Φ_{ν_i,μ_i}, following relation holds*

$$A_1(z)\Phi_{\nu,\nu-1}(z) + A_2(z)\Phi_{\nu,\nu-2}(z) + A_3(z)\Phi_{\nu+1,\nu}(z) = 0$$

where the coefficients $A_1(z)$, $A_2(z)$ and $A_3(z)$ are the functions defined by

$$\begin{aligned}A_1(z) &= -\frac{\sigma(z-\nu+1)}{\nabla x_{\nu+1}(z-\nu+1)}\\ A_2(z) &= \frac{1}{\gamma_{\nu-1}}\frac{1}{\Delta x(z)}\left[\tau_\nu(z) - \frac{\sigma(z-\nu+1)}{\nabla x_{\nu+1}(z-\nu+1)}\right]\\ A_3(z) &= -\gamma_\nu\end{aligned}$$

where γ_ν is defined by (7).

Proof. By the Lemma 6, we have $\nu_1 = \nu$, $\nu_2 = \nu$, $\nu_3 = \nu + 1$ and $\mu_1 = \nu - 1$, $\mu_2 = \nu - 2$, $\mu_3 = \nu$. By the formula (33)

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi(s) \quad &= A_1(z)\nabla x_{\nu+1}(s)\big[x_1(s+\nu) - x_1(z)\big] + A_3(z)\nabla x_{\nu+2}(s)\Phi(s+\nu) \\ (42) \qquad &+A_2(z)\nabla x_{\nu+1}(s)\big[x_1(s+\nu) - x_1(z)\big]\big[x_\nu(s) - x_\nu(z-\nu+1)\big]\end{aligned}$$

and by (36)

$$(43)\ \ \Pi(s) = \Phi_\nu(s)\big[x_1(s) - x_1(z)\big]Q(s+1) - \sigma(s)\big[x_1(s+\nu) - x_1(z)\big]Q(s).$$

Notice that if we substitute the q-quadratic lattice (4) and use the property (9), then $\Pi(s)$ in (42) is a Laurent polynomial whose basis is $\{1, z^{-1}, z, z^{-2}, z^2, z^{-3}, z^3\}$, where $z = q^s$. Notice that the L-degree of $\Pi(s)$ is six. Since the L-degree of $Q(s)$ is at least six less than $\Pi(s)$ then degree of $Q(s)$ is zero, i.e., $Q(s) = k$, k is a constant. Let us choose $k = 1$.

We remark here that since $\Pi(s)$ in (42) and (43) are Laurent polynomials whose basis are $\{1, z^{-1}, z, z^{-2}, z^2, z^{-3}, z^3\}$, where $z = q^s$, one can find the coefficients $A_i(z)$ by equating the coefficients. Here, we consider substituting some particular values in s, which makes some terms of $\Pi(s)$ in (42) or (43) zero. Therefore, it makes simplier to determine the coefficients $A_i(z)$. Firstly, let $s = z - \nu$ in $\Pi(s)$ defined by (42) and (43). Notice that first two terms in (42) and second term of (43) vanish, which lead to

$$A_3(z)\Phi(z)\nabla x_{\nu+2}(z-\nu) = \Phi_\nu(z-\nu)\big[x_1(z-\nu) - x_1(z)\big],$$

where $\Phi_\nu(z-\nu) = \Phi(z)$ by (15) and $x_1(z-\nu) - x_1(z) = -\gamma_\nu \nabla x_{\nu+2}(z-\nu)$ by (6) with (9). Then, one gets $A_3(z) = -\gamma_\nu$. In order to find $A_1(z)$ let $s = z - \nu + 1$ in $\Pi(s)$ defined by (42) and (43). Notice that second term of (42) vanishes, which gives

$$\begin{aligned}&A_1(z)\nabla x_{\nu+1}(z-\nu+1)\Delta x_1(z) + A_3(z)\Phi(z+1)\nabla x_{\nu+2}(z-\nu+1) = \\ &\Phi_\nu(z-\nu+1)\big[x_1(z-\nu+1) - x_1(z)\big] - \sigma(z-\nu+1)\big[x_1(z+1) - x_1(z)\big]\end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi_\nu(z-\nu+1) = \Phi(z+1)$ by (15) and $x_1(z+1) - x_1(z) = \Delta x_1(z) = \Delta x(z + \frac{1}{2})$ by the forward operator with (9). Moreover, $x_1(z-\nu+1) - x_1(z) = -\gamma_\nu \nabla x_{\nu+2}(z-\nu+1)$ by (6). By replacing $A_3(z) = -\gamma_\nu$, one has

$$A_1(z) = -\frac{\sigma(z-\nu+1)}{\nabla x_{\nu+1}(z-\nu+1)}.$$

Finally, to find $A_2(z)$ we set $s = z$ in $\Pi(s)$ defined by (42) and (43). With the help of $\nabla x_{\nu+2}(z) = \nabla x_\nu(z+1) = \Delta x_\nu(z)$ by (2) with (9) and $x_1(z+\nu) - x_1(z) = \gamma_\nu \Delta x_\nu(z)$, $x_\nu(z) - x_\nu(z-\nu+1) = \gamma_{\nu-1}\Delta x(z)$ by

(6), (2) with (9). and (15) together with (18) and (2), one can have

$$A_2(z) = \frac{1}{\gamma_{\nu-1}} \frac{1}{\Delta x(z)} \left[\tau_\nu(z) - \frac{\sigma(z-\nu+1)}{\nabla x_{\nu+1}(z-\nu+1)} \right],$$

which completes the proof. The other proofs are similar. Thus, we omit them here.

□

Example 9. *The following relation holds*

$$A_1(z)\Phi_{\nu,\nu}(z) + A_2(z)\Phi_{\nu,\nu-1}(z) + A_3(z)\Phi_{\nu+1,\nu+1}(z) = 0$$

where the coefficients $A_1(z)$, $A_2(z)$ and $A_3(z)$ are the functions defined by

$$A_1(z) = \frac{\Phi(z)}{\Delta x(z)} \Big[-\gamma_\nu + \gamma_{\nu+1} \frac{\nabla x_{\nu+2}(z-\nu)}{\nabla x_{\nu+1}(z-\nu)} \Big] - \frac{\sigma(z-\nu)}{\nabla x_{\nu+1}(z-\nu)}$$
$$A_2(z) = \frac{1}{\gamma_\nu} \frac{\tau_\nu(z) - A_1(z)}{\Delta x(z-\frac{1}{2})}, \quad A_3(z) = -\gamma_{\nu+1}$$

where γ_ν is defined by (7).

Example 10. *The following relation holds*

$$A_1(z)\Phi_{\nu,\nu}(z) + A_2(z)\Phi_{\nu,\nu-1}(z) + A_3(z)\Phi_{\nu-1,\nu-1}(z) = 0$$

where the coefficients $A_1(z)$, $A_2(z)$ and $A_3(z)$ are the functions defined by

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(z) &= -\gamma_\nu \nabla x_\nu(z-\nu+1), \quad A_2(z) = \frac{C(z)}{D(z)} \\ A_3(z) &= -\sigma(z) + \frac{\Phi(z+\nu-1)\nabla x_\nu(z-\nu+1)}{\nabla x_\nu(z)} \\ &- \frac{\Phi(z+\nu-1)\nabla x(z+\frac{1}{2})}{\nabla x_\nu(z)} \frac{C(z)}{D(z)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C(z) &= \frac{1}{\gamma_{\nu+1}} \Phi(z+\nu)\Delta x(z)\nabla x_\nu(z)\nabla x_\nu(z+2) - \sigma(z+1)\nabla x_\nu(z) \\ &\times \nabla x_\nu(z+1)\nabla x_\nu(z+1) + \frac{\gamma_\nu}{\gamma_{\nu+1}} \Phi(z+\nu)\nabla x_\nu(z)\nabla x_{\nu+1}(z+1) \\ &\times \nabla x_\nu(z-\nu+1) + \sigma(z)\nabla x_\nu(z+1)\nabla x_\nu(z+1)\nabla x_\nu(z) \\ &- \Phi(z+\nu-1)\nabla x_\nu(z+1)\nabla x_\nu(z+1)\nabla x_\nu(z-\nu+1), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D(z) &= \Phi(z+\nu)\nabla x_\nu(z)\nabla x_{\nu+1}(z+1)\nabla x(z+1) - \Phi(z+\nu-1) \\ &\times \nabla x_\nu(z+1)\nabla x_\nu(z+1)\nabla x(z+\frac{1}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

and γ_ν is defined by (7).

Example 11. *The following relation holds*

$$A_1(z)\Phi_{\nu-1,\nu-1}(z)+A_2(z)\Phi_{\nu,\nu}(z)+A_3(z)\Phi_{\nu,\nu+1}(z)=0$$

where the coefficients $A_1(z)$, $A_2(z)$ and $A_3(z)$ are the functions defined by

$$A_1(z)=\frac{1}{\gamma_\nu}\Bigg\{-\frac{\sigma(z)}{\nabla x(z)}+\frac{\Phi(z+\nu-1)\nabla x_\nu(z-\nu)}{\nabla x_\nu(z)\nabla x(z)}+\gamma_\nu\frac{\Phi(z+\nu-1)}{\nabla x_\nu(z)}\times$$
$$\frac{\nabla x_\nu(z-\nu+1)}{\nabla x_{\nu+1}(z-\nu)}+\frac{\Phi(z+\nu-1)\sigma(z-\nu)\Delta x(z-\frac{1}{2})}{\Phi(z-1)\nabla x_\nu(z)\nabla x_{\nu+1}(z-\nu)}-\gamma_{\nu+1}\frac{\Phi(z+\nu-1)}{\nabla x_\nu(z)}\Bigg\}$$
$$A_2(z)=\gamma_{\nu+1}-\gamma_\nu\frac{\nabla x_\nu(z-\nu+1)}{\nabla x_{\nu+1}(z-\nu)}-\frac{\sigma(z-\nu)\Delta x(z-\frac{1}{2})}{\Phi(z-1)\nabla x_{\nu+1}(z-\nu)},$$
$$A_3(z)=-\gamma_{\nu+1}\nabla x_\nu(z-\nu)$$

where γ_ν is defined by (7).

Example 12. *The following relation holds*

$$A_1(z)\Phi_{\nu,\nu+1}(z)+A_2(z)\Phi_{\nu-1,\nu}(z)+A_3(z)\Phi_{\nu-1,\nu-1}(z)=0$$

where the coefficients $A_1(z)$, $A_2(z)$ and $A_3(z)$ are the functions defined by

$$A_1(z)=-\gamma_{\nu+1},\quad A_3(z)=\frac{1}{\gamma_\nu}\frac{\tau_{\nu-1}(z)-A_2(z)}{\nabla x(z)}$$
$$A_2(z)=-\gamma_\nu\frac{\Phi(z-1)}{\Delta x(z-\frac{1}{2})}-\frac{\sigma(z-\nu)}{\nabla x_\nu(z-\nu)}+\gamma_{\nu+1}\frac{\Phi(z-1)\nabla x_{\nu+1}(z-\nu)}{\Delta x(z-\frac{1}{2})\nabla x_\nu(z-\nu)}$$

where γ_ν is defined by (7).

4. Recurrence relations including the solutions y_ν and their difference derivatives

Here we include the recurrence relations related with solutions y_ν and their difference derivatives which are defined in [23, 24] by

(44)
$$y_\nu^{(k)}:=\Delta^{(k)}y_\nu(s)=\frac{C_\nu^{(k)}}{\rho_k(s)}\Phi_{\nu,\nu-k}(s),\quad \Delta^{(k)}=\left(\frac{\Delta}{\Delta x_{k-1}}\right)...\left(\frac{\Delta}{\Delta x_0}\right),$$

(45)
$$\rho_k(s)=\sigma(s+1)\rho_{k-1}(s+1)=\rho(s+k)\prod_{i=1}^{k}\sigma(s+i),$$

$$C_\nu^{(k)}=\left[\alpha_{\nu-k}\widetilde{\tau}'_{k-1}+\gamma_{\nu-k}\frac{\widetilde{\sigma}''_{k-1}}{2}\right]C_\nu^{(k-1)}=\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}\kappa_{\nu+i}C_\nu,\ \kappa_\nu=\alpha_{\nu-1}\widetilde{\tau}'+\gamma_{\nu-1}\frac{\widetilde{\sigma}''}{2}.$$

The following theorem has been proved for the lineer-type lattices in [3, 5, 6] and is also valid for the quadratic-type lattices.

Theorem 13. *In the same conditions as in the Lemma 6 any three functions $y_{\nu_i}^{(k_i)}(s)$, $i=1,2,3$, are connected by a linear relation*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3}B_i(s)y_{\nu_i}^{(k_i)}(s)=0, \tag{46}$$

where $B_i(s)=A_i(s)(C_\nu^{(k)})^{-1}\Phi(s+k_*)...\Phi(s+k_i-1)$, $i=1,2,3$ are functions on s.

The proof is straightforward by using the Lemma 6, (see [6]).

Corollary 14. *The following three-term recurence relation holds*

$$A_1(s)y_\nu(s)+A_2(s)y_{\nu+1}(s)+A_3(s)y_{\nu-1}(s)=0,$$

provided that the conditions in the Lemma 6 exist. Here, the coefficients $A_i(s)$, $i=1,2,3$ are the functions.

Proof. By substituting $k_1=0$, $k_2=0$, $k_3=0$ and $\nu_1=\nu$, $\nu_2=\nu+1$, $\nu_3=\nu-1$ in (46), one can obtain the above relation. □

Theorem 15. *The following Δ-ladder-type recurrence relation holds*

$$B_1(s)y_\nu(s)+B_2(s)\frac{\Delta y_\nu(s)}{\Delta x(s)}+B_3(s)y_{\nu+m}(s)=0,\quad m\in\mathbb{Z}, \tag{47}$$

provided that the conditions in the Lemma 6 are satisfied. Here, $B_i(s)$, $i=1,2,3$ are functions.

Proof. It is sufficient to put $k_1=0$, $k_2=1$, $k_3=0$ and $\nu_1=\nu$, $\nu_2=\nu$, $\nu_3=\nu+m$ into (46). □

We remark here that the cases $m=\mp1$ in (47) lead to the raising and lowering operators

$$B_1(s)y_\nu(s)+B_2(s)\frac{\Delta y_\nu(s)}{\Delta x(s)}+B_3(s)y_{\nu+1}(s)=0, \tag{48}$$

$$\widetilde{B}_1(s)y_\nu(s)+\widetilde{B}_2(s)\frac{\Delta y_\nu(s)}{\Delta x(s)}+\widetilde{B}_3(s)y_{\nu-1}(s)=0, \tag{49}$$

respectively, where $B_i(s)$ and $\widetilde{B}_i(s)$, $i=1,2,3$, are the functions.

Theorem 16. *The following ∇-ladder-type recurrence relation holds*

$$B_1(s)y_\nu(s) + B_2(s)\frac{\nabla y_\nu(s)}{\nabla x(s)} + B_3(s)y_{\nu-1}(s) = 0, \tag{50}$$

provided that the conditions in the Lemma 6 are satisfied. Here, $B_i(s)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ are functions.

Proof. By applying the $\nabla/\nabla x(s)$ operator to $y_\nu(s)$ defined by (27), we have

$$\frac{\nabla y_\nu(s)}{\nabla x(s)} = \frac{1}{\nabla x(s)}\left[C_\nu \Phi_{\nu,\nu}(s)\big(\frac{1}{\rho(s)} - \frac{1}{\rho(s-1)}\big) + \frac{C_\nu}{\rho(s-1)}\nabla\Phi_{\nu,\nu}(s)\right].$$

It is sufficient to substitute the above relation into (50), and then using the relation (28) where $\mu = \nu$.

□

By the formula defined in (44), the examples 7, 8, 9 and 10 lead to the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&B_1(s)y_\nu(s) + B_2(s)y_\nu^{(1)}(s) + B_3(s)y_\nu^{(2)}(s) = 0,\\
&B_1(s)y_\nu^{(1)}(s) + B_2(s)y_\nu^{(2)}(s) + B_3(s)y_{\nu+1}^{(1)}(s) = 0,\\
&B_1(s)y_\nu(s) + B_2(s)y_\nu^{(1)}(s) + B_3(s)y_{\nu+1}(s) = 0,\\
&B_1(s)y_\nu(s) + B_2(s)y_\nu^{(1)}(s) + B_3(s)y_{\nu-1}(s) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the last two relations are the so-called raising and lowering operators, respectively.

5. Application to polynomials on the quadratic-type lattices

Here we include the application of the method to the q-Racah and dual Hahn polynomials which are defined by (11) with $\nu = n$. The q-Racah and dual Hahn polynomials are the general polynomials which are defined on the q-quadratic lattices of the form $x(s) = q^{-s} + \delta\gamma q^{s+1}$ and the quadratic lattices of the form $x(s) = s(s+1)$, respectively. The other polynomials can be obtained by the limit cases.

One can find a detailed study on these polynomials in [1, 19, 20]. Since the q-Racah and dual Hahn polynomials are defined by (11) where $\nu = n$ and the contour C is closed, then the condition in (11) is satisfied, therefore, the Lemma 6 and hence the Theorem 13 hold for such polynomials.

In the following we include two type recurrence relations consisting of the polynomials and their difference-derivatives, for which we use the following three-term recurrence relation (TTRR)

$$x(s)P_n(s) = \widetilde{\alpha}_n P_{n+1}(s) + \widetilde{\beta}_n P_n(s) + \widetilde{\gamma}_n P_{n-1}(s), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, ..., \tag{51}$$

with the initial conditions $P_0(s) = 1, P_{-1}(s) = 0$, and also the differentiation formulas [1, Eqs. (5.65) and (5.67)] (or [11, Eqs. (24) and (25)])

$$\sigma(s)\frac{\nabla P_n(s)_q}{\nabla x(s)} = \overline{\alpha}_n P_{n+1}(s)_q + \overline{\beta}_n(s) P_n(s)_q, \tag{52}$$

$$\Phi(s)\frac{\Delta P_n(s)_q}{\Delta x(s)} = \widehat{\alpha}_n P_{n+1}(s)_q + \widehat{\beta}_n(s) P_n(s)_q, \tag{53}$$

where $\Phi(s) = \sigma(s) + \tau(s)\Delta x(s - \frac{1}{2})$, and

$$\overline{\alpha}_n = \widehat{\alpha}_n = -\frac{\widetilde{\alpha}_n \lambda_{2n}}{[2n]_q}, \quad \overline{\beta}_n(s) = \frac{\lambda_n}{[n]_q}\frac{\tau_n(s)}{\tau_n'}, \quad \widehat{\beta}_n(s) = \overline{\beta}_n(s) - \lambda_n \Delta x(s - \tfrac{1}{2}).$$

Notice that the above differentiation formulas are valid for the q-polynomials on the q-quadratic lattices. In order to get such formulas for the polynomials on the quadratic lattices, one can consider the limit case when $q \to 1$.

5.1. **The first difference-recurrence relation.** Let $\nu_1 = n-1$, $\nu_2 = n$, $\nu_3 = n+1$ and $k_1 = 1$, $k_2 = 1$, $k_3 = 0$ in Theorem 13, then we get

$$B_1(s)\Delta^{(1)} P_{n-1}(s) + B_2(s)\Delta^{(1)} P_n(s) + B_3(s) P_{n+1}(s) = 0.$$

In order to compute $\Delta^{(1)} P_n(s) = \frac{\Delta P_n(s)}{\Delta x(s)}$, we first multiply the above expression by $\Phi(s)$ and then use the formula (53). By considering the TTRR, we have following system of equations

$$B_2(s)\widehat{\alpha}_n + B_3(s)\Phi(s) = \widetilde{\alpha}_n,\ B_1(s)\widehat{\alpha}_{n-1} + B_2(s)\widehat{\beta}_n(s) = \widetilde{\beta}_n - x(s),$$
$$B_1(s)\widehat{\beta}_{n-1}(s) = \widetilde{\gamma}_n,$$

which gives

$$B_1(s) = \frac{\widetilde{\gamma}_n}{\widehat{\beta}_{n-1}(s)}, \quad B_2(s) = \frac{\widetilde{\beta}_n - x(s)}{\widehat{\beta}_n(s)} - \frac{\widehat{\alpha}_{n-1}\widetilde{\gamma}_n}{\widehat{\beta}_n(s)\widehat{\beta}_{n-1}(s)},$$
$$B_3(s) = \frac{1}{\Phi(s)}\left[\widetilde{\alpha}_n - \frac{\widehat{\alpha}_n}{\widehat{\beta}_n(s)}(\widetilde{\beta}_n - x(s)) + \frac{\widehat{\alpha}_n\widehat{\alpha}_{n-1}}{\widehat{\beta}_n(s)\widehat{\beta}_{n-1}(s)}\widetilde{\gamma}_n\right].$$

By substituting the values of the dual Hahn polynomials (see [20, Table 3.7., Page 109]) in the coefficients $B_i(s)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(s) &= -\frac{(a+c+n)(b-a-n)(b-c-n)(2s+n)}{\kappa_n}, \\ B_2(s) &= -\frac{[ab-ac+bc+(b-a-c-1)(2n+1)-2n^2-s(s+1)]}{\kappa_{n+1}} \\ &\times \ (2s+n+1)+nB_1(s)\frac{2s+n+1}{\kappa_{n+1}}, \\ B_3(s) &= \frac{n+1}{(s+a+1)(s+c+1)(b-s-1)}\left[1+B_2(s)\right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa_n = (s+a+n)(s+c+n)(b-s-n)-(s-a)(s+b)(s-c)+(n-1)(2s+1)(2s+n)$. Note that for the q-Racah polynomials one can find the values $\widetilde{\alpha}_n$, $\widetilde{\beta}_n$, $\widetilde{\gamma}_n$, $\widehat{\alpha}_n$, $\widehat{\beta}_n$, $\Phi(s)$ and $x(s)$ in the coefficients $B_i(s)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ by using [10, Table 1, Page 11363]. By substituting corresponding values, one can get the difference-recurrence relation for the q-Racah polynomials, explicitly. This can be done with any computer algebra system.

5.2. **The second difference-recurrence relation.** Let $\nu_1 = n-1$, $\nu_2 = n$, $\nu_3 = n+1$, $k_1 = 0$, $k_2 = 1$, $k_3 = 0$ in Theorem 13, then we get

$$B_1(s)P_{n-1}(s) + B_2(s)\Delta^{(1)}P_n(s) + B_3(s)P_{n+1}(s) = 0.$$

To compute $\Delta^{(1)}P_n(s) = \frac{\Delta P_n(s)}{\Delta x(s)}$, we first multiply the above equality by $\Phi(s)$ and then use the formula (53). By the TTRR, we have following system of equations

$$B_2(s)\widehat{\alpha}_n + B_3(s)\Phi(s) = \widetilde{\alpha}_n, \quad B_2(s)\widehat{\beta}_n(s) = \widetilde{\beta}_n - x(s), \quad B_1(s)\Phi(s) = \widetilde{\gamma}_n,$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(s) &= \frac{\widetilde{\gamma}_n}{\Phi(s)}, \quad B_2(s) = \frac{\widetilde{\beta}_n - x(s)}{\widehat{\beta}_n(s)}, \\ B_3(s) &= \frac{1}{\Phi(s)}\left[\widetilde{\alpha}_n - \frac{\widehat{\alpha}_n}{\widehat{\beta}_n(s)}(\widetilde{\beta}_n - x(s))\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the corresponding values of the dual Hahn polynomials from [20, Table 3.7., Page 109] in the coefficients $B_i(s)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, we

have

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(s) &= \frac{(a+c+n)(b-a-n)(b-c-n)}{(s+a+1)(s+c+1)(b-s-1)}, \\ B_2(s) &= -\frac{[ab-ac+bc+(b-a-c-1)(2n+1)-2n^2-s(s+1)]}{\kappa_{n+1}} \\ &\times \ (2s+n+1) \\ B_3(s) &= \frac{n+1}{(s+a+1)(s+c+1)(b-s-1)}\left[1+B_2(s)\right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa_n = (s+a+n)(s+c+n)(b-s-n)-(s-a)(s+b)(s-c)+(n-1)(2s+1)(2s+n)$. Notice that the values $\widetilde{\alpha}_n$, $\widetilde{\beta}_n$, $\widetilde{\gamma}_n$, $\widehat{\alpha}_n$, $\widehat{\beta}_n(s)$, $\Phi(s)$ and $x(s)$ of the q-Racah polynomials are given in [10, Table 1, Page 11363]. Thus, one can get the relations for the q-Racah polynomials by just substituting the corresponding values in the coefficients $B_i(s)$, $i=1,2,3$.

Note that one can also obtain the difference-recurrence relations for the Racah polynomials from the q-Racah polynomials by the limit transition when $q \to 1$. Racah polynomials are defined on the quadratic lattices $x(s) = s(s+1)$.

6. Concluding remarks

In the present work, we have developed some recurrence relations for the hypergeometric functions on the quadratic-type lattices. Application to the q-Racah and dual Hahn polynomials have been considered. The importance and also difference of this paper is regarding to the quadratic-type lattices which are the most general ones from where the others can be obtained by suitable limit transitions.

Acknowledgements:

The author thanks to Prof. R. Álvarez-Nodarse for his valuable comments and suggestions. She is supported by a grant from TÜBİTAK, the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey.

References

[1] Álvarez-Nodarse, R. *Polinomios hipergemétricos y q-polinomios,* Monografías del Seminario García Galdeano. Universidad de Zaragoza. Vol. **26**. Prensas Universitarias de Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain, (2003). (In Spanish).

[2] Álvarez-Nodarse, R. *On characterizations of classical polynomials*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **196**. (2006), pp. 320-337.

[3] Álvarez-Nodarse, R. *Á La Carte reccurence relations for continuous and discrete hypergeometric functions*, Sema Journal **55**. (2011), pp. 41-57.

[4] Álvarez-Nodarse, R., Atakishiyev, N. M. and Costas-Santos, R. S. *Factorization of hypergeometric type difference equations on nonuniform lattices: dynamical algebra,* J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **38** (2005), pp. 153-174.

[5] Álvarez-Nodarse, R. and Cardoso, J. L. *Reccurence relations for discrete hypergeometric functions*, J. Difference Eq. Appl. **11**. (2005), pp. 829-850.

[6] Álvarez-Nodarse, R. and Cardoso, J. L. *On the Properties of Special Functions on the linear-type lattices*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **405**. (2013), pp. 271-285.

[7] Álvarez-Nodarse, R., Cardoso, J. L. and Quintero, N. R. *On reccurence relations for radial wave functions for the N-th dimensional oscillators and hydrogenlike atoms: analytical and numerical study*, Elect. Trans. Num. Anal. **24**.(2006), pp. 7-23.

[8] Álvarez-Nodarse, R. and Medem, J. C. *q-Classical polynomials and the q-Askey and Nikiforov-Uvarov Tableaus*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **135**. (2001), pp. 157-196.

[9] Álvarez-Nodarse, R. and Sevinik Adıgüzel, R. On the Krall type polynomials on q-quadratic lattices, Indagationes Mathematicae, **21** (2011), pp. 181-203, doi:10.1016/j.indag.2011.04.002.

[10] Álvarez-Nodarse, R. and Sevinik Adıgüzel, R. The q-Racah-Krall-type polynomials, Appl. Math. Comput., **218** (2012), pp. 11362-11369.

[11] Álvarez-Nodarse, R. Smirnov, Yu. F. and Costas-Santos, R. S. A q-Analog of Racah Polynomials and q-Algebra $SU_q(2)$ in Quantum Optics, J.Russian Laser Research **27** (2006), 1-32.

[12] Atakishiyev, N. M., Rahman, M. and Suslov, S. K. *Classical Orthogonal Polynomials,* Constr. Approx. **11** (1995), pp. 181-226.

[13] Bera, P. K., Bhattacharyya, S., Das, U. and Talukdar, B. *Re- currence relations for n-dimensional radial wavefunctions,* Phys. Rev. A **48**, (1993) 47644767.

[14] Cardoso, J. L. and Álvarez Nodarse, R. *Recurrence relations for radial wavefunctions for the nth-dimensional oscillators and hydrogenlike atoms,* J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **36**, (2003), 20552068.

[15] Gasper, G. and Rahman, M. Basic Hypergeometric Series (2nd Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications* **96**, (2004), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

[16] Gill, A., Segura, J. and Temme, N.M. *The ABC of hyper recursions,* J. Comput. Appl. Math., **190** (2006), pp. 270-286.

[17] Gill, A., Segura, J. and Temme, N.M. *Numerically Satisfactory solutions of hypergeometric recursions,* Math. Comp., **76** (2007), pp. 1449-1468.

[18] Jones, W.B. and Njastad, O. *Orthogonal Laurent Polynomials and strong moment theory: a survey,* J. Comput. Appl. Math., **105** (1999), pp. 51-91.

[19] Koekoek, R. Lesky, P. A. and Swarttouw, R.F. *Hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and their q-analogues,* (2010), Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg.

[20] Nikiforov, A. F., Suslov, S. K. and Uvarov, V. B. *Classical Orthogonal Polynomials of a Discrete Variable,* (1991), Springer Ser. Comput. Phys., Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

[21] Nikiforov, A. F. and Uvarov, V. B. *Polynomial solutions of hypergeometric type difference equations and their classification,* Integral Transforms and Special Functions **1:3**, (1993), 223249.

[22] Prunele, E. D. *Three-term recurrence relations for hydro- gen wavefunctions: exact calculations and semiclassical approxi- mations.* J. Math. Phys. **25**, (1983), 472480.

[23] Suslov, S. K. *The theory of difference analogues of special functions of hypergeometric type* , Russian Math. Surveys **44**:2 (1989), pp. 227-278.
[24] Suslov, S. K. *A correction*, Russian Math. Surveys **45**:3 (1990), pp. 245-245.

General Directorate for Science and Technology, Ankara, Turkey
E-mail address: sevinikrezan@gmail.com